arXiv:1211.5834v4 [math.CV] 27 Jan 2013

THE MINIOWITZ AND VUORINEN THEOREMS FOR THE MAPPINGS WITH NON-BOUNDED CHARACTERISTICS

E. SEVOST'YANOV

January 29, 2013

Abstract

The present paper is devoted to the study of classes of mappings with non–bounded characteristics of quasiconformality. It is proved that the normal families of mappings distorting the families of mappings in $\mathbb{R}^n$ by special way, have the logarithmic order of growth in the neighborhood of every point. There are proved some sufficient conditions of normality of such mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geq 2$, omitting the values of some set E_f with some constraints of the type $c(E_f) \geq \delta$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, where $c(\cdot)$ is the special set function.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 30C65; Secondary 30C62

1 Introduction

The present paper is devoted to the study of Q–mappings introduced by O. Martio together with V. Ryazanov, U. Srebro and E. Yakubov (see e.g. in [14]). In what follows, m be the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \cup \{\infty\}$ be the one–point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, M be the conformal modulus of families of curves (see e.g. 6.I in [21]). In the extended space $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{R}^n \bigcup \{\infty\}$, we use a *spherical (chordal)* distance $h(x, y) = |\pi(x) - \pi(y)|$, where π is a stereographical projection of $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ onto the sphere $S^n(\frac{1}{2}e_{n+1}, \frac{1}{2})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$:

$$h(x, \infty) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}}, \quad h(x, y) = \frac{|x - y|}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2}\sqrt{1 + |y|^2}}, \quad x \neq \infty \neq y\,.$$

Given a domain D and two sets E and F in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geq 2$, $\Gamma(E, F, D)$ denotes the family of all paths $\gamma : [a, b] \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ which join E and F in D, i.e., $\gamma(a) \in E$, $\gamma(b) \in F$ and $\gamma(t) \in D$

for $a < t < b$. We set $\Gamma(E,F) = \Gamma(E,F,\overline{\mathbb{R}^n})$ if $D = \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Let $r_0 = \operatorname{dist}(x_0\,,\partial D)$ and $Q : D \to [0\,,\infty]$ is a measurable function. Set

$$R(r_1,r_2,x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r_1 < |x-x_0| < r_2\}\,,$$

$$S_i = S(x_0,r_i) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x-x_0| = r_i\}\,, \quad i = 1,2.$$

A mapping $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is said to be a *ring Q–mapping at a point $x_0 \in D$,* if the inequality

$$M\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S_1,S_2,R\right)\right)\right) \leq \int\limits_R Q(x)\cdot\eta^n(|x-x_0|)\;dm(x) \tag{1.1}$$

take a place for every annulus $R = R(r_1,r_2,x_0)$, $0 < r_1 < r_2 < r_0$, and every measurable function $\eta : (r_1,r_2) \to [0,\infty]$ with

$$\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2} \eta(r)dr \geq 1\,. \tag{1.2}$$

Remark that the case $Q(x) \leq K \equiv const$ corresponds to the quasiregular mappings, and the case $Q(x) \equiv 1$ corresponds to the conformal mappings and analytic functions (see the monographs [19] and [20] as well as the Theorem 1 in [18] in this connection). In the work [26], we have found the conditions on Q providing the equicontinuity and normality of families of mappings satisfying the (1.1) and omitting the values of the fixed set of positive capacity. Remark that, the above conditions are sufficient conditions of equicontinuity, but they are not necessary. In particular, the family of mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E$ with (1.1) is normal whenever $\operatorname{cap} E > 0$ and $Q \in FMO(x_0)$ at every point $x_0 \in D$ (see Theorem 5.1 in [26]).

The present work consists of two different parts devoted to the study of the property of equicontinuity for the mappings satisfying the relations (1.1)–(1.2). In the first part of the paper, we discuss the necessary and sufficient conditions providing the equicontinuity of the family of mappings meaning above. Here we fix some condition on Q, for example, the FMO–condition, and consider the family of mappings satisfying the inequalities (1.1)–(1.2). Remark that such investigations extend the well–known results of R. Miniowitz which were published in 1982 for the quasiregular mappings (see Theorem 1 in [16]); see also the result of O. Lehto and K. Virtanen for the plane quasiregular mappings (Theorem 4.3.II. in [9]). The most important author's result in this direction can be given in the following form.

Theorem 1.1. *A family of all discrete open ring Q–mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ at the point $x_0 \in D$ with $Q \in FMO(x_0)$ is equicontinuous at the point $x_0 \in D$ if and only if there exist $p = p(n,Q) > 0$, $C_n > 0$ and $\varepsilon_0(x_0) > 0$ such that*

$$h(f(x),f(x_0)) \leq C_n\left\{\frac{1}{\log\frac{1}{|x-x_0|}}\right\}^p \tag{1.3}$$

for every $x \in B(x_0,\varepsilon_0)$.

Here, following [6], we say that a function $\varphi : D \to \mathbb{R}$ has *finite mean oscillation* at a point $x_0 \in D$ if

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\Omega_n \cdot \varepsilon^n} \int\limits_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} |\varphi(x) - \widetilde{\varphi_\varepsilon}| dm(x) < \infty$$

where

$$\widetilde{\varphi_\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\Omega_n \cdot \varepsilon^n} \int\limits_{B(x_0,\varepsilon)} \varphi(x)\, dm(x)$$

is the average of the function $\varphi(x)$ over the ball $B(x_0,\varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < \varepsilon\}$. Note that FMO is not BMO_{loc}, see examples in [14], p. 211. It is well–known that $L^\infty(D) \subset BMO(D) \subset L^p_{loc}(D)$ for all $1 \le p < \infty$, see e.g. [7], but $FMO(D) \not\subset L^p_{loc}(D)$ for any $p > 1$.

The second part of the paper is devoted to the search of sufficient conditions of equicontinuity of the mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E_f$ omitting the points of the set E_f, where the compact set E_f of positive capacity depends on f. Note that, in the general case, the condition $\operatorname{cap} E_f > 0$ does not imply the equicontinuity (normality) of the family of K–quasiregular mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E_f$ that shows the following simple example: $f_m(z) = z^m$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $D := B(0,2) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 2\}$ for $n = 2$. It is known that, if a set $E = E_f$ does not depend on f, the corresponding family of mappings to be the equicontinuous (see Corollary 2.7.III in [20] for bounded Q, and Theorems 5.1–5.2 in [26] for more general Q). However, in the present paper we don't require the existence of such "general" E. Following to approach of M. Vuorinen [22], we consider now the restriction on the family $\{E_f\}$ which connects with some set function $c(\cdot)$ (see the relation (4.1)). The restrictions of a such type were considered earlier for bounded Q, see [22]. The following result take a place.

Theorem 1.2. *The family $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,\delta}$ of all open discrete ring Q–mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E_f$ at $x_0 \in D$ with $c(E_f) \ge \delta > 0$, where the set E_f is compact, is equicontinuous at the point $x_0 \in D$ whenever at least one of the conditions take a place: 1) $Q \in FMO(x_0)$; 2) $q_{x_0}(r) \le C \cdot (\log \frac{1}{r})^{n-1}$, where $C > 0$ is some positive constant and $q_{x_0}(r)$ is the integral average of $Q(x)$ under the sphere $S(x_0, r)$; 3)*

$$\int\limits_0^{\varepsilon_0} \frac{dt}{t q_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)} = \infty \tag{1.4}$$

for some $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(x_0)$, where $q_{x_0}(r)$ as above.

2 Preliminaries

All of the definitions and notions used in the paper can be found in [26]. To recall the most important of them. Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$. A mapping $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a *discrete* if the preimage $f^{-1}(y)$ of every point $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ consists of isolated points, and an *open* if the image of every open set $U \subset D$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Following [11] and [20], a *condenser* is a pair $E=(A,C)$ where $A\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ is open and C is non–empty compact set contained in A. For a given condenser $E=(A,\,C)$, we set

$$\operatorname{cap}E=\operatorname{cap}\,(A,\,C)=\inf_{u\in W_0(E)}\int\limits_A|\nabla u|^n dm(x)$$

where $W_0(E)=W_0(A,\,C)$ is the family of non–negative functions $u:A\to\mathbb{R}^1$ such that (1) $u\in C_0(A)$, (2) $u(x)\geq 1$ for $x\in C$ and (3) u is ACL. In the above formula $|\nabla u|=\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n}(\partial_i u)^2\right)^{1/2}$, and $\operatorname{cap}E$ is called the *capacity* of the condenser E.

Let $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$ be a discrete open mapping. Let $\beta:[a,\,b)\to\mathbb{R}^n$ is a curve and $x\in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$. A curve $\alpha:[a,\,c)\to D$ is called a *maximal f–lifting* of β starting at x, if (1) $\alpha(a)=x$; (2) $f\circ\alpha=\beta|_{[a,\,c)}$; (3) if $c<c'\leq b$, then there does not exist a path $\alpha':[a,\,c')\to D$ such that $\alpha=\alpha'|_{[a,\,c)}$ and $f\circ\alpha'=\beta|_{[a,\,c')}$. Let f be a discrete open mapping, then every curve β with $x\in f^{-1}(\beta(a))$ has a maximal f–lifting starting at a point x (see Corollary 3.3.II in [20], see also Lemma 3.12 in [13]).

In what follows, ω_{n-1} denotes the square of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The weaker version of the following Lemmas were proved in [26], see Lemmas 3.2–3.3.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f:D\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n\geq 2$, be an open discrete ring Q–mapping at the point x_0. Suppose that there exist numbers $\varepsilon_0\in(0,\,\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D))$, $\varepsilon_0'\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$ and a family of nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\}$, $\psi_\varepsilon:(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\to[0,\infty]$, $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0')$, such that*

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0}Q(x)\cdot\psi_\varepsilon^n(|x-x_0|)\;dm(x)\leq F(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\qquad\forall\;\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0')\,,\tag{2.1}$$

where $F(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)$ is some function and

$$0<I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0):=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi_\varepsilon(t)dt<\infty\qquad\forall\;\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0')\,.\tag{2.2}$$

Then

$$\operatorname{cap}f(E)\leq F(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)/I^n(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\qquad\forall\;\varepsilon\in(0,\,\varepsilon_0')\;,\tag{2.3}$$

where $E=(A,\,C)$, $A=B(x_0,r_0)$, $C=\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)}$, $r_0=\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\,\partial D)$, $A:=\mathbb{R}^n$ whenever $D:=\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Let us consider the condenser $E=(A,\,C)$, where A and C from the conditions of Lemma. Remark that a pair of the sets $f(E)=(f(A),f(C))$ to be a condenser by the continuity and openness of the mapping f. If $\operatorname{cap}f(E)=0$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\operatorname{cap}f(E)\neq 0$. We can consider that $\infty\notin A$.

Let Γ_E be a family of curves of the type $\gamma:[a,\,b)\to A$ such that $\gamma(a)\in C$ and $|\gamma|\cap(A\setminus F)\neq\varnothing$ for every compact set $F\subset A$, where $|\gamma|=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:\exists\,t\in[a,b):\gamma(t)=x\}$ is

a support of the curve γ. It is known that $\operatorname{cap} E = M(\Gamma_E)$ (see e.g. Proposition 10.2.II in [20]).

Let us consider a family of curves $\Gamma_{f(E)}$ for a condenser $f(E)$. Remark that every $\gamma \in \Gamma_{f(E)}$ has a maximal f–lifting in A starting in C (see Corollary 3.3.II in [20]). Let Γ^* be a family of all maximal f–liftings of $\Gamma_{f(E)}$ starting in C. Remark that $\Gamma^* \subset \Gamma_E$. Besides that remark that $\Gamma_{f(E)} > f(\Gamma^*)$ and, consequently,

$$M\left(\Gamma_{f(E)}\right) \leq M\left(f(\Gamma^*)\right) . \tag{2.4}$$

Consider $S_\varepsilon = S(x_0, \varepsilon)$, $S_{\varepsilon_0} = S(x_0, \varepsilon_0)$, where ε_0 is from conditions of Lemma and $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0')$. Let us denote $R(r_1, r_2, x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : r_1 < |x - x_0| < r_2\}$. Remark that $\Gamma^* \subset \Gamma_E$ and, consequently, $\Gamma\left(S_\varepsilon, S_{\varepsilon_0}, R(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, x_0)\right) < \Gamma^*$ and $f(\Gamma\left(S_\varepsilon, S_{\varepsilon_0}, R(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, x_0)\right)) < f(\Gamma^*)$. Hence

$$M\left(f(\Gamma^*)\right) \leq M\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S_\varepsilon, S_{\varepsilon_0}, R(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, x_0)\right)\right)\right) . \tag{2.5}$$

I follows from relations (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$M\left(\Gamma_{f(E)}\right) \leq M\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S_\varepsilon, S_{\varepsilon_0}, R(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, x_0)\right)\right)\right)$$

and, consequently,

$$\operatorname{cap} f(E) \leq M\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S_\varepsilon, S_{\varepsilon_0}, R(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, x_0)\right)\right)\right) . \tag{2.6}$$

Let us consider the family of the Lebesgue measurable functions $\eta_\varepsilon(t) = \psi_\varepsilon(t)/I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$, $t \in (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$. Given $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0')$ we have $\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \eta_\varepsilon(t)\, dt = 1$. By the definition of the ring Q–mapping at the point x_0,

$$M\left(f\left(\Gamma\left(S_\varepsilon, S_{\varepsilon_0}, R(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0, x_0)\right)\right)\right) \leq \frac{1}{I^n(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)} \int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \psi_\varepsilon^n(|x - x_0|)\ dm(x) \tag{2.7}$$

for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0')$. The relation (2.3) directly follows from (2.1), (2.6) and (2.7). $\square$

A *chordal diameter* of the set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ is called the quantity

$$h(E) = \sup_{x, y \in E} h(x, y) .$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $f : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ be an open discrete ring Q–mapping at the point x_0 such that $D' = f(D) \subset B(0, r)$ and $h\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus B(0, r)\right) \geq \delta > 0$. Suppose that there exist numbers $p \leq n$, $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$, $\varepsilon_0' \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and nonnegative Lebesgue measurable functions $\{\psi_\varepsilon(t)\}$, $\psi_\varepsilon : (\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \to [0, \infty]$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0')$, with*

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \psi_\varepsilon^n(|x - x_0|)\ dm(x) \leq K \cdot I^p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \qquad \forall\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0') , \tag{2.8}$$

where the quantity $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$ is defined in (2.2). Then

$$h(f(x), f(x_0)) \leq \frac{\alpha_n}{\delta} \exp\{-\beta_n I^{\gamma_{n,p}}(|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0)\} \tag{2.9}$$

for every $x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon_0{}')$, where $\lambda_n \in [4, 2e^{n-1})$ are some numbers depending only on n (see (7.21) and Lemma 7.22 in [23]) and

$$\alpha_n = 2\lambda_n^2, \qquad \beta_n = \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}, \qquad \gamma_{n,p} = 1 - \frac{p-1}{n-1}\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Given $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0')$, we get

$$\operatorname{cap} f(E) \le K \cdot I^{p-n}\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0\right)\,. \tag{2.11}$$

In fact, the relation (2.11) follows directly from (2.3) and (2.8) as $F(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) := K \cdot I^p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$.

Since $f(A) \subset B(0, r)$, by Lemma 2.2 in [26] applied to the condenser $f(E)$, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{cap} f(E) \ge \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left\{\log \frac{2\lambda_n^2}{h(f(C))h\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus B(0,r)\right)}\right\}^{n-1}}\,, \tag{2.12}$$

where $\lambda_n \in [4, 2e^{n-1})$, $\lambda_2 = 4$ and $\lambda_n^{1/n} \to e$ as $n \to \infty$. Since $h\left(\overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus B(0,r)\right) \ge \delta$, it follows from (2.11) and (2.12) that

$$h\left(f(C)\right) \le \frac{2\,\lambda_n^2}{\delta}\,\exp\left\{-\left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)\right)^{\frac{n-p}{n-1}}\right\}.$$

Denoting $\alpha_n = 2\lambda_n^2$, $\quad \beta_n = \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, $\quad \gamma_{n,p} = 1 - \frac{p-1}{n-1}$, we obtain

$$h\left(f(C)\right) \le \frac{\alpha_n}{\delta}\exp\left\{-\beta_n I^{\gamma_{n,p}}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)\right\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Let $x \in D$ be such that $|x - x_0| = \varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0'$. Then $x \in \overline{B\left(x_0, \varepsilon\right)}$ and $f(x) \in f\left(\overline{B\left(x_0, \varepsilon\right)}\right) = f(C)$ and from (2.13) we get the estimate

$$h\left(f(x),\, f(x_0)\right) \le \frac{\alpha_n}{\delta}\exp\left\{-\beta_n I^{\gamma_{n,p}}(|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_0)\right\} \qquad \forall \quad \varepsilon \in \left(0, \varepsilon_0'\right). \tag{2.14}$$

By arbitrariness of $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \varepsilon_0'\right)$, the relation (2.14) take a place in the whole ball $B(x_0, \varepsilon_0')$. □

Recall that, by the Liouville theorem, each conformal mapping of a domain D of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 3$, is a restriction of some Möbius transformation to D (see e.g. [10], [5] and Theorem 2.5.I in [20]). The above result is also true for a domain $D := \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and $n = 2$ (see, e.g., [17]). Inversely, the restriction of every Möbius transformation $U : \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ on a domain $D \setminus \{\infty, U^{-1}(\infty)\}$ is a conformal mapping and, consequently, $M(U(\Gamma)) = M(\Gamma)$ for every Möbius transformation $U : \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and a family of curves Γ in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ (see Theorem 8.1 in [21]).

The following two Lemmas define the character of the local behavior of the classes of mappings of the type (1.1) which are equicontinuous at fixed point.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{F}_Q$ be the family of the open discrete Q–mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, at the point $x_0 \in D$, $n \geq 2$. Suppose that there exist numbers $p < n$, $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$, $\varepsilon_0' \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and a nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function $\psi : (0, \varepsilon_0) \to [0, \infty]$, with (2.2), (2.8) as $\psi_\varepsilon \equiv \psi$ and, besides that, $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.*

Then $\mathfrak{F}_Q$ is equicontinuous at x_0 if and only if there exist $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_i(x_0)$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 < \varepsilon_0$, such that the inequality

$$h(f(x), f(x_0)) \leq \alpha_n \exp\{-\widetilde{\beta_n} I^{\gamma_{n,p}}(|x - x_0|, \varepsilon_2)\} \tag{2.15}$$

holds for every $f \in \mathfrak{F}_Q$ and all $x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon_1)$, where $\widetilde{\beta_n} = \left(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{2K}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, and α_n and $\gamma_{n,p}$ are defined in (2.10).

Proof. Sufficiency of the statement of Lemma is obvious in view of (2.15) at $p < n$ and of the condition $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Now let us show the necessity of the statement. Suppose that the family $\mathfrak{F}_Q$ is equicontinuous at x_0, then for every $\sigma > 0$ there exists $\Delta = \Delta(\sigma, x_0)$ such that

$$h(f(x), f(x_0)) < \sigma \tag{2.16}$$

whenever $|x - x_0| < \Delta$. We may consider $\Delta < \varepsilon_0$. Given a mapping $f \in \mathfrak{F}_Q$ and a point $x_0 \in D$, let us define a Möbius transformation $U : \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ as the following:

$$U(f(x_0)) = 0, \qquad h(U(f(x)), U(f(x_0))) = h(f(x), f(x_0)) \tag{2.17}$$

(see Theorem 12.2 in [21]). By the remarks which have been done before Lemma 2.3, a mapping $v := U \circ f$ is a ring Q–mapping at the point x_0. It follows from (2.16) at sufficiently small σ and from (2.17) that $|v(x)| \leq 1$ at some $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2(x_0)$ and all $x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon_2)$. Let us consider the mapping $g := v|_{B(x_0, \varepsilon_2)}$. Since $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we have $0 < I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) < 2 \cdot I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) < \infty$ at some $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_2)$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$. It follows from the conditions (2.8) that

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon < |x - x_0| < \varepsilon_2} Q(x) \cdot \psi^n(|x - x_0|)\, dm(x) \leq 2K \cdot I^p(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_2) \qquad \forall\, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)\,. \tag{2.18}$$

However, the condition (2.18) coincides with (2.8), where ε_2 plays role of ε_0, ε_1 plays role of ε_0' and a new constant $2K$ plays role of the constant K. The desired conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2 applied to the mapping g and from (2.17). □

In what follows, we also need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathfrak{F}_Q$ be the family of the open discrete mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, $n \geq 2$, satisfying the estimate of the type (1.1) at the point x_0. Suppose that there exists a number $\widetilde{\varepsilon_0} \in (0, \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D))$ with the following property: for every $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, \widetilde{\varepsilon_0})$ there exists $\varepsilon_0' \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and nonnegative Lebesgue measurable function $\psi : (0, \widetilde{\varepsilon_0}) \to [0, \infty]$ such that*

$$0 < I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) := \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \psi(t) dt < \infty \qquad \forall\, \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0') \tag{2.19}$$

and

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0} Q(x)\cdot\psi^n(|x-x_0|)\,dm(x)\le K\cdot I^p(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\qquad\forall\,\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0')\,. \tag{2.20}$$

Assume that a family $\mathfrak{F}_Q$ is equicontinuous at the point x_0. Then there exist $\varepsilon_i=\varepsilon_i(x_0)$, $i=1,2$, $0<\varepsilon_1<\varepsilon_2<\varepsilon_0$, such that the estimate

$$h(f(x),f(x_0))\le\alpha_n\,\exp\{-\beta_n I^{\gamma_{n,p}}(|x-x_0|,\varepsilon_2)\} \tag{2.21}$$

take a place for every $f\in\mathfrak{F}_Q$ and all $x\in B(x_0,\varepsilon_1)$, where α_n, β_n and $\gamma_{n,p}$ are defined in (2.10).

Proof. Suppose that the family $\mathfrak{F}_Q$ is equicontinuous at the point x_0. Then, for every $\sigma>0$ there exists $\Delta=\Delta(\sigma,x_0)$ such that (2.16) holds for every $x\in B(x_0,\Delta)$. Given a mapping $f\in\mathfrak{F}_Q$ and $x_0\in D$ we define a Möbius transformation $U:\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with (2.17). The mapping $v:=U\circ f$ is a ring Q–mapping at x_0. It follows from (2.16) at sufficiently small σ and from (2.17) that $|v(x)|\le 1$ for some $\varepsilon_2=\varepsilon_2(x_0)$ and all $x\in B(x_0,\varepsilon_2)$. Let us consider the mapping $g:=f|_{B(x_0,\varepsilon_2)}$. The relations $0<I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_2)<\infty$ hold for some $\varepsilon_1\in(0,\varepsilon_1)$ and all $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_1)$ by (2.19). It follows from (2.20) that

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_2} Q(x)\cdot\psi^n(|x-x_0|)\,dm(x)\le K\cdot I^p(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_2)\qquad\forall\,\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_1)\,. \tag{2.22}$$

However, (2.22) coincides with (2.8). The desired conclusion follows from Lemma 2.2 applied to the mapping g and from the (2.17. □

Remark 2.1. The conclusions of the Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 are obtained at the different a priori assumptions. The statement of Lemma 2.4 is more precise in comparison with Lemma 2.3 because the constant $\beta_n=(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{K})^{1/(n-1)}$ in (2.21) is more than the corresponding quantity $\widetilde{\beta_n}=(\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{2K})^{1/(n-1)}$ in (2.15). From other hand, the conditions (2.2), (2.8) of Lemma 2.3 must be hold at one fixed ε_0, while such a conditions must be hold infinitely many times in Lemma 2.4 with the same $K>0$. The both Lemmas have the corresponding applications which be given in the next section.

3 On the necessary and sufficient conditions of equicontinuity

The proof of the Theorem 1.1 is founded on the choise of the function ψ in Lemma 2.3. We set $\psi(t):=\frac{1}{t\log 1/t}$. Let $\varepsilon_0<e^{-1}$. In the notions given above we have

$$I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi(t)\,dt=\log\frac{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}{\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}}\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where $I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\to\infty$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$ and the condition (2.8) holds by Corollary 2.3 in [6] (see also Lemma 6.1.VI in [14]). The condition (1.3) follows from (2.9) at the selected function ψ. $\Box$.

Given a Lebesgue measurable function $Q:D\to[0,\infty]$, we denote by $q_{x_0}(r)$ the integral average of $Q(x)$ over the sphere$|x-x_0|=r$,

$$q_{x_0}(r):=\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}r^{n-1}}\int\limits_{|x-x_0|=r}Q(x)\,dS\,,$$

where dS denotes the element of the square of the surface S. On the basis of Lemma 2.4 we obtain the following statements.

Theorem 3.1. *Given $x_0\in D$ and a Lebesgue measurable function $Q:D\to[0,\infty]$, suppose that the condition (1.4) take a place for some $\varepsilon_0=\varepsilon_0(x_0)\in(0,\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D))$. The family of the open discrete ring Q–mappings $f:D\to\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ at the point $x_0\in D$ is equicontinuous at the point $x_0\in D$ if and only if the relation*

$$h(f(x),f(x_0))\le\alpha_n\cdot\exp\left\{-\int\limits_{|x-x_0|}^{\varepsilon_0}\frac{dt}{tq_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)}\right\}\tag{3.2}$$

take a place for some $\varepsilon_0'<\varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_0'>0$, and all $x\in B(x_0,\varepsilon_0')$, where α_n is the constant from Lemma 2.2.

Proof. The sufficiency of the statement of the Theorem follows from the conditions (1.4) and (3.2) directly. Let us prove the necessity. For small ε, let us consider the function

$$\psi(t)\quad=\quad\begin{cases}1/[tq_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)]\,, & t\in(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0),\\ 0\,, & t\notin(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\,.\end{cases}\tag{3.3}$$

As above, we set $I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0):=\int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0}\psi(t)dt$. By (1.4), for every sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0>0$ there exists $\varepsilon_0'\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$ such that $I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)>0$ for every $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0')$. Besides that $I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)<\infty$ at every $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$ (see Remark 3 in [29]). Finally, remark that the function ψ satisfies the relation (2.20) at $p=1$ and $K=\omega_{n-1}$, in fact, we have

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon<|x-x_0|<\varepsilon_0}Q(x)\cdot\psi^n(|x-x_0|)\;dm(x)=\omega_{n-1}\cdot I(\varepsilon,\varepsilon_0)\,.\tag{3.4}$$

Now the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 2.4. $\Box$

The following conclusion follows directly from the Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.1. *Given $x_0\in D$ and a Lebesgue measurable function $Q:D\to[0,\infty]$, suppose that the condition*

$$q_{x_0}(r)\le C\left(\log\frac{1}{r}\right)^{n-1}\qquad\forall\ r\in(0,\varepsilon_0)\tag{3.5}$$

take a place for some $\varepsilon_0=\varepsilon(x_0)\in(0,\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D))$. *The family of all open discrete ring* Q*–mappings* $f:D\rightarrow\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ *at point* $x_0\in D$ *is equicontinuous at the point* $x_0\in D$ *if and only if*

$$h(f(x),f(x_0))\leq\frac{M}{\log\frac{1}{|x-x_0|}}\,, \tag{3.6}$$

for some $\varepsilon_0'<\varepsilon_0$, $\varepsilon_0'>0$ *and all* $x\in B(x_0,\varepsilon_0')$, *where the constant* M *depends only on* n *and point* x_0.

In the end of the section we give one more important result specifying the conclusion of the Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* D *be a domain in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n\geq 2$, *and* $\varepsilon_0>0$, $\varepsilon_0<\operatorname{dist}(x_0,\partial D)$. *Given a function* $Q:D\rightarrow[1,\infty]$, $Q\in L^1_{loc}(D)$, *with* $\int\limits_0^{\varepsilon_0}\frac{dt}{tq_{x_0}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}(t)}<\infty$, *there exists a family of uniformly bounded ring* Q*–mappings at the point* x_0 *which is not equicontinuous at* x_0.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can consider that $D=\mathbb{B}^n=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x|<1\}$ and $x_0=0$. Let us define a sequence of mappings $f_m:\mathbb{B}^n\rightarrow\mathbb{R}^n$ by the following way:

$$f_m(x)=\frac{x}{|x|}\rho_m(|x|)\,,\qquad f_m(0):=0\,,$$

where

$$\rho_m(r)=\exp\left\{-\int\limits_r^1\frac{dt}{tq_{0,m}^{1/(n-1)}(t)}\right\}\,,\qquad q_{0,m}(r):=\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}r^{n-1}}\int\limits_{|x|=r}Q_m(x)\,dS\,,$$

$$Q_m(x)\quad=\quad\begin{cases}Q(x), & |x|>1/m\,,\\ 1\,, & |x|\leq 1/m\,.\end{cases}$$

Now we prove that every of the mappings f_m, $m=1,2,\ldots$, is a ring Q–homeomorphism at the point $x_0=0$. In fact, given $r\in(0,1)$, we have $f(S(0,r))=S(0,R_m)$, where

$$R_m:=\exp\left\{-\int\limits_r^1\frac{dt}{tq_{0,m}^{1/(n-1)}(t)}\right\}\,.$$

Remark that

$$f_m(\Gamma(S(0,r_1),S(0,r_2),R(r_1,r_2,0)))=\Gamma(S(0,R_{1,m}),S(0,R_{2,m}),R(R_{1,m},R_{2,m},0))\,,$$

where $R_{i,m}:=\exp\left\{-\int\limits_{r_i}^1\frac{dt}{tq_{0,m}^{1/(n-1)}(t)}\right\}$, $i=1,2$. In accordance with 7.5 in [21],

$$M(f(\Gamma(S(0,r_1),S(0,r_2),R(r_1,r_2,0))))=\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2}\frac{dt}{tq_{0,m}^{1/(n-1)}(t)}\right)^{n-1}}\leq\frac{\omega_{n-1}}{\left(\int\limits_{r_1}^{r_2}\frac{dt}{tq_0^{1/(n-1)}(t)}\right)^{n-1}}\,.$$

Consequently, a mapping f_m be a ring Q–mapping at zero (see Theorem 1 in [28]). Remark that $|f_m(x)|\leq 1$ for every $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and, consequently, a family of mappings $\{f_l(x)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$

is uniformly bounded. For every sequence x_m with $|x_m| = 1/m$, $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, we have $|f_m(x_m)| \geq \sigma$, where σ does not depend on m. Finally, for some $\sigma > 0$ and every element $1/(m-1)$, $m = 2, 3, \ldots$, there exists $x_m \in \mathbb{B}^n$ and $f_m \in \{f_l(x)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ such that $|x_m - 0| < 1/(m-1)$ and, simultaneously, $|f_m(x_m) - f_m(0)| \geq \sigma$. Thus a family of mappings $\{f_l(x)\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ is not equicontinuous at zero. $\Box$

4 On the equicontinuity of the families omitting the values from the sets

The following investigation are founded on the approach of M. Vuorinen (see in [22]). Bellow we give the most general results for the equicontinuous families of the open discrete mappings satisfying the estimates (1.1)–(1.2) (see also the paper [26] and the corresponding comments given in the introduction). As in the work [22], we give the results on the equicontinuous of the families in the terms of the special set function $c(\cdot)$.

Let $Q(x,t) = \{y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} : h(x,y) < t\}$ – be a spherical ball centered at the point x of the radius t. Given $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ and numbers $0 < r < t < 1$, we set $\widetilde{x} = -\frac{x}{|x|^2}$,

$$\begin{cases} m_t(E,r,x) = M(\Gamma(\partial Q(x,t), E \cap \overline{Q(x,r)}))\,, \\ m(E,x) = m_{\sqrt{3}/2}(E, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, x)\,, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} c(E,x) = \max\{m(E,x), m(E,\widetilde{x})\}\,, \\ c(E) = \inf\limits_{x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}} c(E,x)\,. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Given a compact set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, we have $c(E) = 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{cap} E = 0$ (see Corollary 3.19 in [22]).

Let D be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Denote by $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,\delta}$ the family of all open discrete ring Q–mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E_f$ at $x_0 \in D$ with $c(E_f) \geq \delta > 0$, where the set E_f is compact, and the set function $c(\cdot)$ is defined by (4.1).

The following auxiliary result take a place.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon_0 < \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \partial D)$, $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and a function $\psi : (0, \varepsilon_0) \to [0, \infty]$ with*

$$0 < I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = \int\limits_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon_0} \psi(t)dt < \infty \qquad \forall\ \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1) \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\int\limits_{\varepsilon < |x - x_0| < \varepsilon_0} Q(x) \cdot \psi^n(|x - x_0|)\ dm(x) = o\left(I^n\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0\right)\right) \tag{4.3}$$

as $\varepsilon\to 0$. Then the family $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,\delta}$ is equicontinuous at x_0.

Proof. Given a mapping $f\in\mathfrak{F}_{Q,\delta}$, let us consider the condensers $E=(A,C)$ and $f(E)=E'=(f(A),f(C))$, where $C:=\overline{B(x_0,\varepsilon)}$, $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_1)$, $A=B(x_0,r_0)$, $r_0={\rm dist}\,(x_0,\partial D)$. Let $\Gamma_{E'}$ and Γ_E be the families of curves in the notions of Lemma 2.1. Remark that $\Gamma(f(C),E_f)>\Gamma_{E'}$ (see Theorem 1.I.46 in [8]) and, consequently, by Theorem 6.4 in [21]), Lemma 2.1 and (4.3)

$$M(\Gamma(f(C),E_f))\le M(\Gamma_{E'})={\rm cap}\,f(E)\le\alpha(\varepsilon)\,, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\alpha(\varepsilon)\to 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$. From other hand, by Theorem 3.14 in [22],

$$M(\Gamma(f(C),E_f))\ge\beta\min\{c(f(C)),c(E_f)\}\,, \tag{4.5}$$

where the constant β depends only on n. Since $c(F)\ge a_nh(F)$ for every connected set F in $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, where $h(F)$ is the chordal diameter of F and a_n is some constant (see Corollary 3.13 in [22]), we get

$$c(f(C))\ge a_n\cdot h(f(C))\,. \tag{4.6}$$

It is known that $c(E)\le\omega_{n-1}\cdot\left(\log\sqrt{3}\right)^{1-n}$ for every set $E\subset\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ (see the relation (3.7) in [22] and (4.1)), consequently,

$$\frac{c(E)}{\omega_{n-1}\cdot\left(\log\sqrt{3}\right)^{1-n}}\quad\le\quad 1\qquad\forall\ E\subset\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}\,. \tag{4.7}$$

If min in (4.5) equals to $c(f(C))$, by (4.6) and (4.7) we have that

$$M(\Gamma(f(C),E_f))\ge\beta\cdot a_n\cdot h(f(C))\ge\frac{\beta\cdot a_n\cdot h(f(C))c(E_f)}{\omega_{n-1}\cdot\left(\log\sqrt{3}\right)^{1-n}}\,. \tag{4.8}$$

If $\min\{c(f(C)),c(E_f)\}=c(E_f)$, then it follows from (4.5) that

$$M(\Gamma(f(C),E_f))\ge c(E_f)\ge h(f(C))c(E_f)\,. \tag{4.9}$$

Setting $c_n:=\min\left\{1,\frac{\beta\cdot a_n}{\omega_{n-1}\cdot\left(\log\sqrt{3}\right)^{1-n}}\right\}$, we have from (4.8) and (4.9) that

$$M(\Gamma(f(C),E_f))\ge c_n\cdot h(f(C))c(E_f)\ge c_n\cdot\delta\cdot h(f(C))\,. \tag{4.10}$$

It follows from (4.4) and (4.10) that

$$h(f(C))\le\frac{\alpha(\varepsilon)}{c_n\delta}\,. \tag{4.11}$$

Since $\alpha(\varepsilon)\to 0$ as $\varepsilon\to 0$, it follows from (4.11) that, for every $\sigma>0$ there exists $\Delta=\Delta(\sigma)$ such that $h(f(C))<\sigma$ whenever $\varepsilon<\Delta$. In other words, $h(f(x),f(x_0))<\sigma$ for all $x\in B(x_0,\varepsilon)$, $\varepsilon<\Delta$. Hence, the inequality $h(f(x),f(x_0))<\sigma$ take a place for all $x\in B(x_0,\Delta)$ and every $f\in\mathfrak{F}_{Q,\Delta}$, that means the equicontinuity of the family $\mathfrak{F}_{Q,\Delta}$ at the point x_0. $\Box$

The analogue of the following result was published in [29] in some weaker form (see Lemma 8).

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose that a Lebesgue measurable function $Q : D \to [0, \infty]$ satisfies at least one of the conditions at $x_0 \in D$: 1) $Q \in FMO(x_0)$; 2) $q_{x_0}(r) \leq C \cdot (\log \frac{1}{r})^{n-1}$; 3) the (1.4) at some $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(x_0)$. Then the conditions (4.2)–(4.3) of Lemma 4.1 hold.*

Proof. In the first case we set $\psi(t) := \frac{1}{t \log 1/t}$. Let $\varepsilon_0 < e^{-1}$. Then the relation (3.1) take a place in the notions done above and the condition (4.3) is satisfied by Corollary 2.3 in [6] (see also Lemma 6.1.VI in [14]). So, the conditions (4.2)–(4.3) of Lemma 4.1 take a place. Since the case 2) directly follows from 3), let us consider the case 3). For small ε, consider the function ψ defined by (3.3), and to define the function $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0)$ by (4.2), as above. By (1.4), there exists $\varepsilon_1 \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ with $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) > 0$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1)$. Remark that $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) < \infty$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ (see Remark 3 in [29]). Finally, remark that, by (3.4), the function ψ satisfies the relation (4.3) at $p = 1$, in fact, $I(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0) = o(I^n(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_0))$ in view of (1.4). $\Box$

The statement of the Theorem 1.2 directly follows from the Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 4.1.

5 Applications and consequences

Now we discuss some important particular cases of the Theorem 1.2. As consequence as $Q(x) \leq K = const$ and $E_f = E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, we have the following well–known result for the quasiregular mappings (see Theorem 3.17 in [12] and Corollary 2.7.III in [20]).

Corollary 5.1. Given a compact set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with $\operatorname{cap} E > 0$, the family of all K–quasiregular mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E$ is equicontinuous at every point $x_0 \in D$.

As consequence as $E_f = E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$, we obtain the following author's result (see Theorems 5.1–5.2 in [26]).

Corollary 5.2. Given a compact set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ with $\operatorname{cap} E > 0$, the family of all open discrete Q–mappings $f : D \to \overline{\mathbb{R}^n} \setminus E$ is equicontinuous at every point $x_0 \in D$ provided that at least one the conditions 1)–3) of the Theorem 1.2 is satisfied.

The following result can be easy gotten from the the theorems 1.1, 1.2, 3.1 and Corollary 3.1.

Theorem 5.1. *1. Every $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q,\delta}$ satisfies the estimate (1.3) for all $x \in B(x_0, \varepsilon_0(x_0))$ and some $\varepsilon_0(x_0) > 0$ whenever $Q \in FMO(x_0)$, where $p = p(n, Q) > 0$ and $C_n > 0$ are some constants. и всех*

2. Every $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q,\delta}$ satisfies the estimate (3.2) at some constant $\alpha_n > 0$ whenever (1.4) holds at some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$.

3. Every $f \in \mathfrak{F}_{Q,\delta}$ satisfies the estimate (3.6) in some neighborhood of the point x_0 whenever the (3.5) holds, where $M > 0$ is some constant depending only on n and point x_0.

Finally, we give the main application of the results obtained in the paper to Sobolev's class. Set at points $x\in D$ of differentiability of f

$$l\left(f'(x)\right)\;=\;\min\limits_{h\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}}\frac{|f'(x)h|}{|h|}\,,\|f'(x)\|\;=\;\max\limits_{h\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}}\frac{|f'(x)h|}{|h|}\,,J(x,f)=\det f'(x),$$

and define for such $x\in D$ the *inner dilatation of f at x* as

$$K_I(x,f)\quad=\quad\begin{cases}\frac{|J(x,f)|}{l(f'(x))^n}, & J(x,f)\neq 0,\\ 1, & f'(x)=0,\\ \infty, & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}.$$

Recall that a point $y_0\in D$ is said to be a *branch point* of the mapping $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$, if for every neighborhood U of the point y_0 a restriction $f|_U$ fails to be a homeomorphism. A set of all branch sets of f is denoted by B_f.

Let us denote through $\mathfrak{A}_Q$ the family of all discrete open mappings $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n$ of the class $W^{1,n}_{loc}$ with branch set B_f of the zero Lebesgue measure and with $K_I(x,f)\le Q(x)\in L^1_{loc}$. The following statements follow from the Theorems 1.1, 3.1, Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 2 in [27].

Corollary 5.3. *1. Every $f\in\mathfrak{A}_Q$ satisfies the estimate (1.3) for all $x\in B(x_0,\varepsilon_0(x_0))$ and some $\varepsilon_0(x_0)>0$ whenever $Q\in FMO(x_0)$, where $p=p(n,Q)>0$ and $C_n>0$ are some constants.*

2. Every $f\in\mathfrak{A}_Q$ satisfies the estimate (3.2) with some constant $\alpha_n>0$ whenever (1.4) holds at some $\varepsilon_0>0$.

3. Every $f\in\mathfrak{A}_Q$ satisfies the estimate (3.6) in some neighborhood of the point x_0 whenever the (3.5) holds, where $M>0$ is some constant depending only on n and point x_0.

Let us denote through $\mathfrak{B}_{Q,\delta}$ the family of all discrete open mappings $f:D\to\mathbb{R}^n\setminus E_f$ of the class $W^{1,n}_{loc}$ with branch set B_f of the zero Lebesgue measure such that $K_I(x,f)\le Q(x)\in L^1_{loc}$ and $c(E_f)\ge\delta$, where E_f is compact and $c(\cdot)$ as above. The following statements follow from the Theorems 1.1, 3.1, 3.1 and Corollary 2 in [27].

The following result can be easy gotten from the the Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 2 in [27].

Corollary 5.4. *The family of the mappings $\mathfrak{B}_{Q,\delta}$ is equicontinuous at x_0 provided that the conditions 1)–3) of the Theorem 1.2 are fulfilled.*

Remark 5.1. A significant number of papers and monographs is devoted to the study of the mappings satisfying the modulus and capacity estimates, see e.g. [1]–[4], [6], [9], [11]–[16], [18], [20]–[25] in this connection. Consequently, the results of the paper have the wide applications to the different classes of the mappings in the space.

References

[1] Andreian Cazacu C., *On the length-area dilatation*, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 50, no. 7–11 (2005), 765–776.

[2] Bishop C.J., Gutlyanskii V.Ya., Martio O., Vuorinen M., *On conformal dilatation in space*, Intern. Journ. Math. and Math. Scie. 22 (2003), 1397–1420.

[3] Cristea M., *Local homeomorphisms having local ACL^n inverses*, Compl. Var. and Ellipt. Equat. 53, no. 1 (2008), 77–99.

[4] Golberg A., Salimov R., *Topological mappings of integrally bounded p–moduli*, Ann. Univ. Bucharest (math. series) 3, no. 1 (2012), 49–66.

[5] Hartman P., *On isometries and on a theorem of Liouville*, Math. Z. 69 (1958), 202–210.

[6] Ignat'ev A. and Ryazanov V., *Finite mean oscillation in the mapping theory* (in Russian), Ukrainian Math. Visnyk 2, no. 3 (2005), 403–424.

[7] John F., Nirenberg L., *On functions of bounded mean oscillation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 14 (1961), 415–426.

[8] Kuratowski K., *Topology*, Vol. 2., Academic Press, New York and London, 1968.

[9] Lehto O. and Virtanen K., *Quasiconformal Mappings in the Plane*, Springer, New York etc., 1973.

[10] Liouville J., *Extension au cas des trois dimensions se la question du tracé géographique.* Note VI, 609–617. In: Monge G., *Application de l'analyse à la géometric*, Bachelier, Paris, 1859.

[11] Martio O., Rickman S., Väisälä J., *Definitions for quasiregular mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math. 448 (1969), 1–40.

[12] Martio O., Rickman S. and Väisälä J., *Distortion and singularities of quasiregular mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A1. 465 (1970), 1–13.

[13] Martio O., Rickman S., Väisälä J., *Topological and metric properties of quasiregular mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I. Math. 488 (1971), 1–31.

[14] Martio O., Ryazanov V., Srebro U. and Yakubov E., *Moduli in Modern Mapping Theory*, Springer Science + Business Media, New York: LLC, 2009.

[15] Miklyukov V.M., *Conformal Maps of Nonsmooth Surfaces and Their Applications*, Exlibris Corporation, Philadelphia, 2008.

[16] Miniowitz R., *Normal families of quasimeromorphic mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 84, no. 1 (1982), 35–43.

[17] Mostow G.D., *Quasi–conformal mappings in n–space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. math. 34 (1968), 53–104.

[18] Poletskii E.A., *The modulus method for non–homeomorphic quasiconformal mappings*, Mat. Sb. 83 (125), no. 2 (1970), 261–272.

[19] Reshetnyak Yu.G., *Space Mappings with Bounded Distortion*, Transl. of Math. Monographs 73, AMS , 1989.

[20] Rickman S., *Quasiregular Mappings*, Springer, Berlin etc., 1993.

[21] Väisälä J., *Lectures on n–Dimensional Quasiconformal Mappings,* Lecture Notes in Math. 229, Berlin etc., Springer–Verlag, 1971.

[22] Vuorinen M., *Some inequalities for the moduli of curve families*, Michigan Math. J. 30 (1983), 369–380.

[23] Vuorinen M., *Conformal Geometry and Quasiregular Mappings,* Lecture Notes in Math. 1319, Berlin etc., Springer–Verlag, 1988.

[24] Vuorinen M., *Exceptional sets and boundary behavior of quasiregular mappings in n – space*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A 1. Math. Dissertationes 11 (1976), 1–44.

[25] Vuorinen M., *On the Iversen–Tsuji theorem for quasiregular mappings*, Math. Scand. 41, no. 1 (1977), 90–98.

[26] Sevost'yanov E.A., *Theory of moduli, capacities and normal families of mappings admitting a branching* (in Russian), Ukr. Math. Visnyk 4, no. 4 (2007), 582–604.

[27] Sevost'yanov E.A., *Generalization of one Poletskii lemma to classes of space mappings*, Ukrainian Math. Journ. 61, no. 7 (2009), 1151–1157.

[28] Sevost'yanov E.A., *On the integral characterization of some generalized quasiregular mappings and the significance of the conditions of divergence of integrals in the geometric theory of function*, Ukrainian Math. Journ. 61, no. 10 (2009), 1610–1623.

[29] Sevost'yanov E.A., *On the Branch Points of Mappings with the Unbounded Coefficient of Quasiconformality*, Siberian Math. J. 51, no. 5 (2010), 899–912.

Evgeny Sevost'yanov:
Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics,
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine,
74 Roze Luxemburg Str., Donetsk,
83114, UKRAINE
e–mail: brusin2006@rambler.ru